



Review

# Improving Personalized Recommendations via the Use of Ridge Regularization in Matrix Factorization

Yetunde E. Ogunwale\*

University of Ilesa, Ilesa, P.M.B 5089, Nigeria

\*Corresponding author

Yetunde E. Ogunwale

University of Ilesa, Ilesa, P.M.B 5089, Nigeria

## Article information

Received: July 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024; Revised: August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2024; Accepted: September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2024; Published: October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2024

## Cite this article

Ogunwale YE. Improving personalized recommendations via the use of ridge regularization in matrix factorization. 2024; 3(2).

doi: <https://doi.org/10.70705/ppp.bioai.2024.v03.i02.pp49-53>

## ABSTRACT

For many online sites, personalized recommendation algorithms are now crucial to increasing user engagement and happiness. These systems rely on Matrix Factorization (MF) algorithms as their main approach for efficient recommendation creation and user-item interaction modeling. It is still difficult to guarantee that MF models are resilient and can generalize, especially when dealing with sparse and noisy datasets. Here, we zero in on how to optimize MF for individualized suggestions by using L2 regularization methods. To reduce the risk of overfitting, L2 regularization uses a penalty term that is equal to the squared Frobenius norm of the item and user matrices. This feature encourages the learning of latent representations that are more stable and generic. Our goal is to find out how L2 regularization affects recommendation performance and show that it helps MF-based recommendation systems be more accurate and resilient. We use extensive trials on real-world datasets to assess the efficacy of L2-regularized MF models in comparison to baseline methods. L2 regularization has the ability to optimize MF for customized recommendations across several application domains, as our findings show that it greatly improves recommendation accuracy and generalization performance.

## Keywords

Matrix factorization; Personalized recommendations; Ridge regularization; L2 regularization; Recommendation systems.

## INTRODUCTION

The way people find information, goods, and services on the internet has been greatly altered by customized recommendation systems in the modern day [1]. By analyzing user preferences and behaviors with advanced algorithms, these systems provide personalized suggestions that boost user engagement and happiness [2]. Matrix Factorization (MF) is one of several recommendation algorithms that has recently gained traction as a viable method for simulating interactions between users and products and producing tailored suggestions [3]. In order to perform matrix factorization, the user-item interaction matrix is first reduced to a set of lower-dimensional matrices that reflect the latent properties of the user and the item, respectively [3]. Effectively capturing the underlying patterns in user input, MF models learn these latent representations.

preferences and item attributes, allowing for precise prediction of interactions between users and items. Overfitting is a problem with classic MF methods, particularly when working with sparse and noisy datasets [4]. To overcome this obstacle and enhance general-

ization performance, regularization methods are often used.

L2 regularization, or Ridge regularization, is one regularization method that sees a lot of application [5]. By inserting a term to the loss function that is proportional to the squared magnitude of the parameters, L2 regularization penalizes heavy weights in the model, in contrast to regularization approaches like L1 regularization that encourage sparsity in the learnt parameters [6]. Here is the mathematical expression for the Ridge regularization term:

The inclusion of the Ridge regularization term encourages the learned latent representations to be more balanced and less sensitive to noise in the data, ultimately improving the robustness and generalization capability of the MF model. The choice of L2 regularization for optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations is motivated by several factors. Firstly, L2 regularization offers a simple yet effective means of preventing overfitting without sacrificing model complexity [9]. Unlike L1 regularization, which can lead to sparse solutions and may not be suitable for all datasets, L2 regularization maintains a smoother regularization path, making it more suitable for high-dimensional recommendation tasks [7]. Additionally, L2 regularization has been extensively studied and widely



adopted in various machine-learning applications, demonstrating its effectiveness in improving model performance and generalization [5].

In this paper, we look into the optimization of Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations using Ridge regularization techniques. We explore the impact of Ridge regularization on recommendation performance and assess its effectiveness in improving recommendation accuracy and robustness. Through empirical evaluations on real-world datasets, we aim to demonstrate the efficacy of Ridge regularization in enhancing Matrix Factorization-based recommendation systems and paving the way for more personalized and engaging user experiences. Section 2 presents the review of relevant literature. The methodology and model architecture are presented in Sect. 3 while Sect. 4 focuses on results and discussions. The conclusion drawn from the research is presented in Sect. 5

## 2. Related Works

Matrix Factorization (MF) techniques have been extensively studied and applied in the domain of personalized recommendation systems, aiming to provide users with relevant and tailored recommendations. In this section, we review existing literature on Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations, with a focus on approaches that incorporate regularization techniques such as Ridge regularization (also known as L2 regularization).

Matrix Factorization has emerged as a prominent technique for recommendation systems, allowing for the decomposition of the user-item interaction matrix into lower-dimensional latent representations [3]. By learning these latent features, Matrix Factorization models can effectively capture the underlying patterns in user preferences and item characteristics, facilitating accurate prediction of user-item interactions [3]. Traditional MF approaches typically utilize optimization algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent (SGD) to minimize the reconstruction error. Matrix factorization. Deep learning has recently been widely utilized in a variety of sectors, and numerous studies have used it to improve recommendation systems. In this research, the team employed multi-layer perceptron structures to learn user and item representations in an ML-based technique. On the other hand, to solve the data sparsity problem in collaborative filtering, they suggested deep matrix factorization for cross-domain recommendation (DMF-CDR), which combined a collaborative technique to extract latent features. They tested the suggested strategy on a real-world dataset and found that it outperformed many recently popular models. The drawbacks of traditional matrix factorization methods in collaborative filtering for recommendation systems include the limitation of fitting linear features, which restricts their performance in real-world datasets containing complex and nonlinear features. Additionally, the sparsity of user-item interaction information poses a bottleneck for matrix factorization methods.

Zheng and Huang worked on a unified probabilistic matrix factorization recommendation. Existing social tagging systems do not account for shifting user interests [9]. To address this issue, the team provided a unified probabilistic matrix factorization (ITUPMF) recommendation system that incorporated social tagging and time factors. In the suggested method, to produce the latent feature vectors

of three matrices to be suggested to users, the training parameters were optimized using a user-item rating matrix, a user-tag tagging matrix, an item-tag correlation matrix, and a unified probabilistic matrix factorization. The experimental findings showed that the suggested approach successfully applies tag semantics to improve suggestion quality.

In the era of Web 3.0, people-to-people recommendations are critical for identifying and suggesting prospective connections. In most circumstances, the interaction between people is quite minimal since people often connect within a smaller circle. Matrix factorization has been effectively utilized to provide item suggestions to users under sparse conditions, and user-to-user friendship is typically used as extra trust information to generate more accurate item recommendations. This research done by Thirunavukarasu et al. provided a coupled matrix factorization approach for reliably generating people-to-people recommendations by leveraging users' interaction with things [10]. Our empirical data suggest that the proposed model outperforms existing techniques.

An explainable educational resource recommendation model based on matrix factorization was worked on by Gui et al. [11]. Hidden variable-based recommendation algorithms are commonly employed in educational resource recommendation systems. However, such algorithms and their recommendation outputs lack explaining ability, reducing the application effect of recommendation. The team offered an explainable educational resource recommendation (EERR) methodology to address this issue. The model was created in three phases. They began by manually extracting explainable characteristics from instructional resources. The recessive feature was then linked with the explicit feature via matrix decomposition. Finally, the alternating least squares technique was utilized to get the desired results. Experiment findings suggested that the proposed model outperformed the RMSE assessment criterion and could increase user trust in the recommendation system.

Collaborative filtering contributes significantly to the advancement of the recommendation environment by utilizing matrix factorization (MF) decomposition technology, which is the most effective recommendation strategy. Despite its popularity in recommendation systems, SVD-based algorithms suffer from data sparsity, resulting in erroneous rating prediction. Barathy and Chitra's work on applying matrix factorization in collaborative filtering recommender systems presented an incorporation-based recommendation strategy to solve the problem of sparsity in SVD-based approaches [12]. Initially, related users and objects were identified. Then, data was created based on co-rated values. Finally, the data was added to the SVD framework. The team used our approach on the MovieLens 100k dataset. The experiment results showed that our approach to prediction outperforms the existing system.

Regularization techniques play a crucial role in enhancing the generalization performance and robustness of Matrix Factorization models. One widely used regularization method is Ridge regularization, also known as L2 regularization [5]. Ridge regularization introduces a penalty term to the loss function that is proportional to the squared magnitude of the model parameters, aiming to prevent



overfitting and improve model generalization [6]. The choice of L2 regularization for optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations is motivated by its effectiveness in mitigating overfitting and improving model generalization [6]. Unlike other regularization methods such as L1 regularization, which may lead to sparse solutions and are sensitive to outliers, L2 regularization maintains a smoother regularization path and is more suitable for high-dimensional recommendation tasks [7]. Moreover, L2 regularization has been extensively studied and widely adopted in various machine-learning applications, demonstrating its robustness and effectiveness in enhancing model performance [5].

Several empirical studies have investigated the impact of Ridge regularization on Matrix Factorization-based recommendation systems. Koren et al. conducted experiments on the Netflix Prize dataset and demonstrated that incorporating Ridge regularization into Matrix Factorization models led to improved prediction accuracy and robustness [13]. Similarly, Bell & Koren explored the use of Ridge regularization in collaborative filtering algorithms and observed significant performance gains in recommendation quality [14]. Ridge regularization (L2 regularization) has emerged as a valuable technique for optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations. By encouraging smoother and more balanced parameter values, Ridge regularization helps prevent overfitting and improves the generalization performance of MF models, ultimately leading to more accurate and robust personalized recommendations.

The limitations of the works presented in this section include that while matrix factorization is effective for capturing latent features in recommendation systems, traditional approaches may suffer from scalability issues when dealing with large-scale datasets. Additionally, they may not adequately handle cold-start problems, where there is insufficient data for new users or items. Matrix Factorization-based recommendation systems, may not consider the full spectrum of real-world scenarios. Some studies may be limited to specific datasets or evaluation metrics, making it difficult to generalize the findings to other domains or metrics. Therefore, regularization techniques such as Ridge regularization (L2 regularization) can help prevent overfitting and improve model generalization, they introduce additional hyperparameters that need to be tuned. Choosing the appropriate regularization strength ( $\lambda$ ) can be challenging and may require cross-validation, which can increase computational complexity.

### 3. Methodology

In this section, we outline the methodology for optimizing Matrix Factorization (MF) for personalized recommendations using Ridge regularization (L2 regularization). We describe the data preprocessing steps and the formulation of the Ridge regularization term for the MF model.

#### 3.1 Data Preprocessing

The first step in our methodology involves preprocessing the user-item interaction data to prepare it for input into the MF model. This includes handling missing values, scaling the data if necessary, and splitting the dataset into training and testing sets to evaluate model performance.

#### 3.2 Matrix Factorization (MF)

It is a technique used in recommender systems to model user-item interactions and generate personalized recommendations. The basic idea behind Matrix Factorization is to decompose a large user-item interaction matrix into lower-dimensional matrices representing latent user and item features. In a typical recommender system, we have a user-item interaction matrix  $R$ , where each row represents a user, each column represents an item, and the entries denote the interactions (e.g., ratings, purchase history) between users and items. This matrix is often sparse, meaning that most entries are missing because users have interacted with only a small subset of items.

#### 3.3 Matrix Decomposition

Matrix Factorization decomposes the user-item interaction matrix  $R$  into two lower-dimensional matrices: a user matrix  $U$  and an item matrix  $V$ . The user matrix  $U$  has dimensions  $m \times k$ , where  $m$  is the number of users and  $k$  is the number of latent features. The item matrix  $V$  has dimensions  $k \times n$ , where  $n$  is the number of items. The goal of Matrix Factorization is to find the optimal values for the user and item matrices such that their product approximates the original user-item interaction matrix  $R$ . Each row of the user matrix  $U$  represents a user's preferences across the latent features. Each column of the item matrix  $V$  represents an item's characteristics across the latent features. The latent features capture underlying patterns in user preferences and item characteristics that are not explicitly observed in the raw data. For example, in a movie recommendation system, latent features could represent genres such as action, romance, comedy, etc. Once the user and item matrices have been learned, personalized recommendations can be generated for users. For a given user, the recommendation system can compute the predicted ratings for all items by taking the dot product of the user's row in the user matrix  $U$  and the item matrix

$V$ . The top-rated items can then be recommended to the user based on these predicted ratings. A simple mathematical model for MF in the context of a recommender system.

Given:

- $R$  is the user-item interaction matrix of size  $m \times n$ , where  $m$  is the number of users and  $n$  is the number of items.
- $U$  is the user matrix of size  $m \times k$ , where  $k$  is the number of latent features.
- $V$  is the item matrix of size  $k \times n$ .

The goal is to find the optimal values for  $U$  and  $V$  such that their product approximates the original user-item interaction matrix  $R$ . Mathematically, it can be formulated as an optimization problem:

The optimization problem aims to minimize the squared difference between the predicted and actual ratings while penalizing large values in the user and item matrices to prevent overfitting. The optimization problem can be solved using iterative optimization algorithms such as gradient descent or alternating least squares (ALS). In each iteration, the user and item matrices are updated based on the gradients of the loss function concerning each matrix. The optimization process continues until convergence, at which point the optimal values for  $U$  and  $V$  are obtained. Once the user and item matrices are



learned, personalized recommendations can be generated for users by computing the predicted ratings

#### 4. Result and Discussion

In this section, we discuss the findings and implications of optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations using Ridge regularization (L2 regularization).

##### 4.1 Incorporating the Ridge regularization (L2 regularization)

To prevent overfitting in the regularized loss function of Matrix Factorization, we incorporate L2 regularization, also known as Ridge regularization. The first step is to define the regularized loss function. The regularized loss function combines the Mean Squared Error (MSE) term with the L2 regularization term. Mathematically, it can be expressed as:

##### 4.2 Implications of optimizing Matrix Factorization

- **Effectiveness of Ridge Regularization:** Our empirical studies demonstrate that incorporating Ridge regularization into Matrix Factorization models significantly improves the performance of personalized recommendation systems. By penalizing large parameter values, Ridge regularization effectively prevents overfitting and enhances model generalization. These findings corroborate with previous research, which highlights the effectiveness of L2 regularization in improving model robustness and generalization performance [15].
- **Impact of Regularization Parameter:** We observe that the choice of the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  plays a crucial role in determining the trade-off between fitting the training data and preventing overfitting. A careful selection of  $\lambda$  is essential to achieve optimal model performance. Our experiments reveal that tuning  $\lambda$  using cross-validation leads to improved recommendation quality and robustness. Higher values of  $\lambda$  result in stronger regularization, leading to simpler models with better generalization but potentially poorer fitting of the training data.
- **Robustness to Sparse and Noisy Data:** Matrix Factorization with Ridge regularization demonstrates robustness to sparse and noisy data. The regularization term helps mitigate the effects of data sparsity and noise by encouraging smoother and more balanced parameter values. This robustness is critical in real-world recommendation systems, where data quality may vary, and missing values are prevalent.
- **Scalability and Computational Efficiency:** Despite the additional computational overhead introduced by Ridge regularization, our experiments indicate that the optimization process remains scalable, particularly with efficient optimization algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent. The computational efficiency of Ridge regularization makes it suitable for large-scale recommendation tasks, where handling massive datasets is paramount.
- **Interpretability and Model Complexity:** While Ridge regularization improves model generalization and prevents overfitting, it may also affect the interpretability of the learned latent features. The regularization term encourages simpler models by shrinking parameter values, potentially leading to less interpretable representations. Balancing the trade-off between model complexity and interpretability is essential in designing effective recommendation systems. Our findings underscored the effectiveness of Ridge regularization in optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommenda-

tions. By preventing overfitting, enhancing model generalization, and improving robustness to sparse and noisy data, Ridge regularization offers a principled approach to building more accurate and reliable recommendation systems.

#### 5. Conclusion

The purpose of this research was to examine how well Ridge regularization (L2 regularization) optimized Matrix Factorization for individualized recommendation purposes. We hoped to avoid overfitting, increase model generalizability, and make customized recommendation systems more robust by adding Ridge regularization to the Matrix Factorization model. Our research sheds light on the pros and cons of using Ridge regularization in recommendation systems that rely on Matrix Factorization. Our experimental results show that Matrix Factorization models for individualized suggestions benefit greatly from Ridge regularization. Ridge regularization is an efficient tool for preventing overfitting and improving model generalizability by punishing high parameter values. By adjusting the regularization parameter  $\lambda$ , one may achieve a fine-tuned balance between training data fitting and overfitting prevention. The significance of choosing an ideal  $\lambda$  value to get the highest recommendation quality is emphasized by our experiments. Due to its resilience in the face of sparse and noisy data, Matrix Factorization with Ridge regularization is an excellent choice for use in practical recommendation situations where the quality of the input data could fluctuate. Even though Ridge regularization adds some computing burden, optimization is still scalable, especially when using efficient techniques like stochastic gradient descent.

##### 5.2 Recommendations

The findings of this study have significant implications for the design and development of personalized recommendation systems. Ridge regularization offers a principled approach to enhancing model robustness and generalization performance, thereby improving the quality of personalized recommendations. Future research directions could focus on exploring alternative regularization techniques, investigating the interpretability of learned latent features, and extending the applicability of Matrix Factorization to diverse recommendation domains.

In conclusion, the integration of Ridge regularization into Matrix Factorization presents a promising avenue for optimizing personalized recommendation systems. By effectively addressing the challenges of overfitting, generalization, and robustness, Ridge regularization offers a principled framework for building more accurate and reliable recommendation models. The insights gained from this study contribute to advancing the state-of-the-art in personalized recommendation systems and pave the way for further research in this domain.

Optimizing Matrix Factorization for personalized recommendations using Ridge regularization holds great promise for improving recommendation quality and user experience. By leveraging the benefits of regularization techniques, recommendation systems can deliver more accurate and relevant recommendations tailored to individual user preferences.



## REFERENCES

1. first published in 1997 by Resnick and Varian. Systems that provide suggestions.

Proceedings of the ACM, 40(3), 56-58.

2. Dodomavicius and Tuzhilin (2005). A review of current practices and potential expansions towards the next generation of recommendation systems. Publication: 17(6), 734-749, IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering.

Thirdly, in 2009, Koren, Y., Bell, R., and Volinsky, C. Recommender system matrix factorization methods. Computer, 42(8), 30-37.

In 2007, Mnih and Salakhutdinov published their work. Dynamic factorization of a probabilistic matrix. Recent developments in neural information processing systems, volume 20, page 20.

Fifth, Tikhonov and Arsenin (1977). Finding answers to

2. issues that are not clearly stated.

3. Sixth, the work of Hastie, Tibshirani, Friedman, and J. H.

4. H. (2009). Data mining, inference, and prediction: the building blocks of statistical learning (Volume 2, pages 1-758). Berlin: Springer.

The authors of this work are Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2001). The Elements of Statistical Learning. Mine data, draw conclusions, and make predictions. Ten, 978-0. New York: Springer.

8. Kuang et al. (2021, March) report on the following: Xia, Ma, and Liu. Deep matrix factorization for recommendation across domains. Volume 5, pages 2171-2175, of the 2021 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Electronic and Automation Control (IAEAC). IEEE.

Zheng and Huang (2019, June) published a paper. A integrated recommendation system that uses probabilistic matrix factori-

zation and dynamic tagging. For the 2019 ICRIS (International Conference on Robots and Intelligent Systems), see pages 69-72. IEEE.

10. In 2018, Balasubramaniam, T., Nayak, R., and Yuen, C. Making recommendations amongst individuals via the use of linked nonnegative boolean matrix factorization. Included in the 2018 ICSNS Proceedings (pp. 223-227). On the subject of soft computing and network security. The Engineering Institute for Electronics and Electrical Systems, Inc.

Gui et al. (2021) released their findings in a scientific journal.

The month of November. A Matrix Factorization-Based Educational Resource Recommendation Model That Can Be Easy Explained. Volume 7, Issue 2, Pages 354-558, 7th IEEE International Conference on Network Intelligence and Digital Content, 2021. IEEE.

12. Barrathy, R., and Chitra, P. (March 2020). Using matrix factorization in recommender systems for collaborative filtering? At the 2020 ICACCS, the world's sixth largest conference on advanced computing and communication systems, (pp. 635-639). IEEE.

The source is Koren (2008). A multi-faceted collaborative filtering paradigm where factorization meets the neighborhood. This paper was presented at the 14th annual ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining, and it can be found on pages 426-434.

In their 2007 August publication, Bell and Koren (14). More effective collaborative filtering based on neighborhoods. Presented at the 13th annual ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining, this paper is part of the KDD cup and workshop (pp merce, Volume 11, Issue 3, Page 2).